# Longitudinal investigation of task-free hippocampal functional connectivity and episodic memory in early childhood UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND Fengji Geng<sup>1</sup>, Tracy Riggins<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China; <sup>2</sup>University of Maryland, College Park, State of Maryland, US

### Introduction

- The hippocampus is an important neural substrate for memory in MRI data were collected at the Maryland Neuroimaging Center adults (e.g., Xue, 2018) and children (Ghetti, DeMaster, Yonelinas, using a 32-channel coil in a Siemen's 3T scanner. & Bunge, 2010). During the task-free scan, children were instructed to lie as still as • Developmental studies have suggested that development of the possible with eyes open **watching Inscapes**, a movie designed for hippocampus and it's associated cortical regions might underlie collecting fMRI data to reduce potential head motion (Vanderwal, the significant development of memory abilities between the age Kelly, Eilbott, Mayes, & Castellanos, 2015). of 4 and 8 years (Riggins, 2014). • Preprocessing steps included: slice timing correction, motion • Specifically, previous studies suggested that during early correction, smoothing, brain extraction, and normalization. childhood, there were age- and memory-related differences in . Individual anterior and posterior
- hippocampal functional connectivity during task-free state (e.g., Riggins, Geng, Blankenship, & Redcay, 2016; Blankenship, Redcay, Dougherty, & Riggins, 2017).
- However, these investigations have used cross-sectional study design, which may involve confounding variables hard to control.
- Therefore, the current study **used longitudinal data to** investigate the age- and memory-related differences in hippocampal functional connectivity during task-free state between the age of 4 and 8 years.
- We predicted both age- and memory-related differences in hippocampal functional connectivity would be observed during task-free state.

### Method

### **Participants**

A cohort-sequential design was utilized. At Wave 1, 4-, 5-, 6-, 7- and 8-year-old children participated. Both the 4- and 6-year-old children were followed longitudinally for two years (Waves 2 & 3).

Age (years)	Wave 1 ( <i>n</i> )	Wave 2 ( <i>n</i> )	Wave 3 ( <i>n</i> )
4	36		
5	23	39	
6	36		40
7	29	30	
8	29		31

Table 1. The number of subjects for each age group and each wave. The highlighted numbers represent the number of children included in longitudinal study.

### Memory Assessment

During encoding, fMRI data were collected while children viewed and were instructed to remember 120 stimuli and cartoon characters they were paired with. Outside the scanner, children were asked to make item and source memory judgments on 160 stimuli during retrieval (120 old, 40 new). Source memory was computed as the proportion of characters accurately recalled



### **MRI Data Collection and Analyses**

- hippocampus (Figure 3) were derived from Freesurfer 5.1 and edited using Freesurfer v5.1 (Fischl, 2012) and Automatic Segmentation Adapter Tool (ASAT, Wang et al., 2011).
  - We calculated the connectivity from anterior and posterior hippocampus to the brain regions shown in Figure 2.
- Linear mixed effect models were used to examine how age and hippocampal functional connectivity predicted source memory performance. If interactions were detected, follow-up analyses were carried out on Younger vs. Older age groups defined according to mean age (mean age = 6.64 years, SD = 1.37).



**Task-free Functional Connectivity Results** 

Analyses revealed 4 regions, including fusiform, inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), inferior temporal gyrus (ITG), and superior parietal lobe (SPL), showing an interaction between age and functional connectivity in predicting source memory. In each case, there was a significant positive correlation in the Older group, but Not in the Younger group.





IFG-hippocampus connectivity Figure 6. There was a significant positive correlation in the Older group (F(1, 240) = 5.26, p = .02), but not in the Younger group (F (1, 284) = .125, p = .72).





Figure 7. There was a significant positive correlation in the Older group (*F* (1, 218) = 8.34, *p* = .004), but not in the Younger group (F(1, 272) = .097, p = .76).



Figure 3. Hippocampal subregions

Figure 4. Age was positively related to source memory performance, F (1, 207) = 50.66,*p* < .001.

### **Task-free Functional Connectivity Results**





Figure 8. There was = .09, p = .764).

Figure 9. There was = .839).

# Discussion

- These findings suggest that, during early childhood, there are age-related differences in task-free hippocampal functional connectivity and performance on a source memory task.
  - Specifically, in older children, greater connectivity from hippocampus to IFG, ITG, fusiform, and SPL, was related to better behavioral performance.
- These results are consistent with the component process model (Moscovitch, Cabeza, Winocur, & Nadel, 2016), which suggests that hippocampus and its interaction with other cortical regions (e.g., prefrontal cortex, PFC) are the neural networks supporting episodic memory.
- These results are also consistent with previous studies suggesting positive associations between task-free hippocampal connectivity and memory performance in 6-year-old children (Riggins, Geng, Blankenship, & Redcay, 2016).
- For future research, we will test whether young children's hippocampal functional connectivity can predict their episodic memory ability later.

### References

Blankenship, S. L., Redcay, E., Dougherty, L. R., & Riggins, T. (2017). Development of hippocampal functional connectivity during childhood. Human Brain Mapping, 38(1), 182-201. doi:10.1002/hbm.23353 Fischl, B. (2012). FreeSurfer. NeuroImage, 62(2), 774-781. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021

Ghetti, S., DeMaster, D. M., Yonelinas, A. P., & Bunge, S. A. (2010). Developmental differences in medial temporal lobe function during memory encoding. The Journal of Neuroscience, 30(28), 9548-9556. Moscovitch, M., Cabeza, R., Winocur, G., & Nadel, L. (2016). Episodic Memory and Beyond: The Hippocampus and Neocortex in Transformation. Annual Review of Psychology, 67(1), 105-134. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143733

Riggins, T. (2014). Longitudinal investigation of source memory reveals different developmental trajectories for item memory and binding. Developmental Psychology, 50(2), 449-459. doi:10.1037/a0033622 Riggins, T., Geng, F., Blankenship, S. L., & Redcay, E. (2016). Hippocampal functional connectivity and episodic memory in early childhood. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 19, 58-69. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2016.02.002

Vanderwal, T., Kelly, C., Eilbott, J., Mayes, L. C., & Castellanos, F. X. (2015). Inscapes: A movie paradigm to improve compliance in functional magnetic resonance imaging. NeuroImage, 122, 222-232. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.069

Wang, H., Das, S. R., Suh, J. W., Altinay, M., Pluta, J., Craige, C., . . . Yushkevich, P. A. (2011). A learningbased wrapper method to correct systematic errors in automatic image segmentation: Consistently improved performance in hippocampus, cortex and brain segmentation. NeuroImage, 55(3), 968-985. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.01.006

Xue, G. (2018). The Neural Representations Underlying Human Episodic Memory. *Trends in Cognitive* Sciences. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2018.03.004

# Acknowledgements

Thank you to the families that participated in this research study and to members of the Neurocognitive Development Lab for assistance with data collection. Support for this research was provided by NICHD under Grant HD079518; and the University of Maryland, College Park.



significant correlation in the Old group (F(1, 225) = 5.52, p = .02), but not significant in the Young group (F(1, 280))

significant correlation in the Old group (F(1, 222) =7.302, p = .007), but not significant in the Young group (F(1, 279) = .04, p)